

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/678,150	10/06/2003	Minh-Tan Ton-That	942071	5746	
33798	7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER		
ANISSIMOFF & ASSOCIATES			WATKINS III, WILLIAM P		
RICHMOND NORTH OFFICE CENTRE SUITE 201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
235 NORTH CENTRE RD.			1772		
LONDON, CANADA	ON N5X 4E7		DATE MAILED: 01/26/2009	DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/		
C	$\wedge$	
=		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/678,150	TON-THAT ET AL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	William P. Watkins III	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> .							
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11,21 and 22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	,						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ul>							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>06 October 2003</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/678,150 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

## DETAILED ACTION

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-11 and 21-22, drawn to a composite with an interlayer, classified in class 428, subclass 140.
- II. Claims 12-20, drawn to a method of making an interlayer composite, classified in class 156, subclass 307.1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions Group II, claims 12-20 and Group I, claims 1-11 and 21-22 are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed could be made by phase separation and hardening of two incompatible mixtures followed by perforation

Application/Control Number: 10/678,150 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

and coating with a third layer, instead of supplying a porous interlayer.

- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and since the fields of search are not co-extensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Hans Koenig on 14

  January 2005 a provisional election was made with traverse to

  prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-11 and 21-22.

  Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in

  replying to this Office action. Claims 12-20 are withdrawn from

  further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being

  drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must

be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 4

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Eysel et al. (U.S. 2001/0051480).

See section 0019, which teaches two outer plastic layers that interpenetrate the porous scrim.

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-11 and 21-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eysel et al. (U.S. 2001/0051480 A1).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,150

Art Unit: 1772

Eysel et al. teaches a fibrous scrim with outer plastic layer that interpenetrate the porous scrim as noted above. A wide variety of materials are taught for the scrim and outer layers (sections 0016 and 0021). The instant invention claims a polyester center layer and propylene outer layers. It would have been obvious to select polyester from the type of fibers in Eysel et al. and polypropylene as a specific type of olefin polymer from the types of cover layers taught by Eysel et al. in order to fully practice the teachings of the reference.

Page 5

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to William P. Watkins III whose telephone number is 571-272-1503. The examiner works an increased flex time schedule, but can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 11:30 A.M. through 8:00 P.M. Eastern Time. The examiner returns all calls within one business day unless an extended absence is noted on his voice mail greeting.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR of Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,150

Art Unit: 1772

WW/ww January 24, 2005 William Multure

Page 6

**WILLIAM P. WATKINS III**PRIMARY EXAMINER